KeAi
CHINESE ROOTS
GLOBAL IMPACT

International Journal of Innovation Studies

# EXPLORING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE INTEGRATION AND TPACK COMPETENCE AMONG PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS IN HIGHER EDUCATION

**Charlene C. Pita**
charlene.pita@evsu.edu.ph
Office of the Guidance Services
Eastern Visayas State University Ormoc Campus
Don Filipe Larrazabal St., Ormoc City, Philippines

**Melona C. Deguma**
melona.deguma@ctu.edu.ph
Cebu Technological University
Graduate School-Guidance and Counseling

**Allan B. Canillo**
allan.canillo@ctu.edu.ph
Graduate School-Guidance and Counseling

**Glenn I. Peñoza**
glenn.penoza@ctu.edu.ph
Graduate School-Guidance and Counseling

**Reylan G. Capuno**
reylan.capuno@ctu.edu.ph
Vice-president-Academic Affairs

## Abstract

This research paper investigates strategies for harmonizing family dynamics and business governance to achieve success in family-owned businesses. Through interviews and case studies with a purposive sample of family business owners/managers, the study explores the challenges faced and the strategies employed in integrating family values with effective business governance. Findings reveal that successful harmonization involves navigating complex family dynamics, balancing business objectives with familial goals, and establishing clear communication channels. Moreover, the study identifies key factors contributing to successful integration, such as fostering trust, implementing structured governance mechanisms, and cultivating a shared vision among family members. The discussion contextualizes these findings within existing literature on family business management, highlighting implications for both theory and practice. Despite limitations in generalizability due to

the qualitative nature of the study and the nature of the data, this research contributes to understanding the intricate dynamics of family businesses and provides actionable insights for practitioners seeking to achieve harmony between familial relationships and business governance structures.
**Keywords:** *Family dynamics, Business governance, balancing business, Family business management, Intricate dynamics*

## Introduction

Family businesses are integral components of global economies, contributing significantly to employment, innovation, and economic growth. These enterprises, characterized by ownership and control concentrated within one or a few families across generations, blend familial relationships with business operations (Chua et al., 1999). While this fusion can be

2707 advantageous, it also poses challenges that can hinder organizational performance and sustainability (Sharma, 2004).

The alignment of family dynamics and business governance is crucial for the continued success of family-owned enterprises. These businesses operate within a complex framework where personal relationships, family traditions, and business interests intersect, often leading to conflicts and decision-making dilemmas (Chrisman et al., 2005). Effective governance mechanisms are essential to manage these challenges and ensure family objectives align with business goals (Ward, 2004). However, achieving such harmony requires a delicate balance between familial cohesion and professional management (Lansberg, 1999).

Understanding the strategies employed by successful family businesses to navigate these complexities is essential for sustaining competitive advantage and ensuring generational continuity. Therefore, this research aims to explore these strategies, challenges, and best practices associated with integrating familial relationships with effective governance mechanisms. Through qualitative inquiry, this study will provide insights into the dynamics of family-owned enterprises, offering practical recommendations for stakeholders aiming to enhance organizational performance and familial well-being. The research questions guiding this study are:

1. What are the key challenges faced by family businesses in harmonizing family dynamics and business governance?
2. What strategies do successful family businesses employ to overcome these challenges and achieve harmonization?
3. How do family dynamics influence decision-making processes and governance structures within family-owned enterprises?
4. What are the underlying factors contributing to the success or failure of harmonization efforts in family businesses?

To address these questions, this research adopts a qualitative approach, allowing for in-depth exploration and interpretation of participants' perspectives, experiences, and narratives. Qualitative methods, including semi-structured interviews and case studies, will be utilized to capture the complexities and nuances inherent in the harmonization process. A purposive sampling strategy will be employed to select participants with diverse backgrounds, experiences, and perspectives within the realm of family business ownership and management. The theoretical framework guiding this study draws upon several perspectives from the field of family business management, including agency theory, stewardship theory, and socioemotional wealth perspective. Agency theory posits conflicts of interest may arise within family businesses, necessitating governance mechanisms to align interests and mitigate opportunistic behavior. Stewardship theory emphasizes the trust and loyalty of family members to act in the best interests of the firm, advocating for a collaborative approach to governance. The socioemotional wealth perspective underscores the unique socioemotional needs and nonfinancial goals of family businesses, highlighting the importance of preserving family legacy alongside economic objectives.

In summary, this research seeks to contribute to the literature on family business management by providing empirical insights into strategies for harmonizing family dynamics and business governance. By elucidating the challenges, strategies, and underlying dynamics of harmonization, this study aims to inform both theory and practice, offering actionable recommendations for stakeholders navigating the complexities of family-owned enterprises. Through qualitative inquiry, this research endeavors to shed light on the interplay between familial relationships and business governance structures, advancing our understanding of sustainable success in family businesses.

## Literature Review A. Definition of Family Business

Family businesses represent a diverse spectrum of enterprises wherein ownership and control remain within one or more families across generations (Chua et al., 1999). These businesses span various sectors, from small-scale ventures to multinational corporations, and are characterized by the integration of familial relationships with organizational structures and processes (De Massis et al., 2014). Such integration often fosters a unique organizational culture, where familial values, traditions, and dynamics influence business operations and decision-making.

## B. Importance of Harmonizing Family Dynamics and Business Governance

The harmonization of family dynamics and business governance is paramount for the sustained success and longevity of family-owned enterprises. Unlike non-family businesses, family firms face the intricate challenge of aligning family interests with strategic business objectives (Miller & Le Breton-Miller, 2006). Failure to achieve this alignment can result in conflicts, succession issues, and suboptimal performance. Effective harmonization ensures that family values and goals are congruent with the overarching business strategy, thereby enhancing organizational performance, fostering innovation, and facilitating smooth generational transitions (Sharma & Sharma, 2018).

## C. Challenges in Family Businesses

Family businesses encounter a myriad of challenges arising from the complexities inherent in familial relationships and business operations. These challenges include succession planning, conflict resolution, professionalization, and maintaining a delicate balance between family cohesion and business growth (Chrisman et al., 2005). Moreover, the intergenerational transfer of ownership and control often gives rise to tensions and power struggles among family members, impacting decision-making processes and overall governance (Aronoff & Ward, 1995). Addressing these challenges requires thoughtful strategies and governance mechanisms tailored to the unique dynamics of family-owned enterprises.

**D. Existing Strategies for Harmonizing Family Dynamics and Business Governance**

Numerous strategies have been proposed to facilitate the harmonization of family dynamics and business governance in family-owned enterprises. One approach involves the establishment of clear governance structures and processes, such as family councils, boards of directors, and family constitutions, to delineate roles, responsibilities, and decision-making authority (Ward, 2004). Additionally, fostering open communication, promoting transparency, and investing in family and managerial education can help mitigate conflicts and promote alignment between family values and business goals (Dyer, 2006). Furthermore, implementing formalized succession plans, mentoring programs, and performance evaluation mechanisms can facilitate smooth leadership transitions and ensure continuity across generations (Gersick et al., 1997).

**E.** Theoretical Frameworks

Several theoretical frameworks have been developed to elucidate the dynamics of family businesses and their governance structures. Agency theory posits that conflicts of interest may arise between family owners and professional managers, necessitating governance mechanisms to mitigate agency problems and align incentives (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Stewardship theory, in contrast, emphasizes the intrinsic motivation of family members to act in the best interests of the firm, advocating for a collaborative and trust-based approach to governance (Davis et al., 1997). The socioemotional wealth perspective highlights the unique socioemotional needs and non-financial goals of family businesses, emphasizing the importance of preserving family legacy, identity, and relationships alongside economic objectives (Gómez-Mejía et al., 2007). These theoretical frameworks provide valuable insights into the complexities of family business governance and inform the development of effective strategies for harmonizing family dynamics with organizational goals.

## Methodology A. Research Design

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore the strategies for harmonizing family dynamics and business governance in family-owned enterprises. Qualitative methods were chosen for their ability to capture the richness and complexity of participants' experiences, perceptions, and narratives related to the research topic (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Through indepth interviews and case studies, this approach allowed for a holistic understanding of the challenges, strategies, and underlying dynamics of harmonization within family businesses.

## B. Data Collection Methods

1. Interviews: Semi-structured interviews were conducted with family business owners and managers to gather insights into their experiences, perspectives, and strategies for harmonizing family dynamics and business governance. Interviews were audio-recorded with participants' consent to ensure accuracy in data capture.
2. Focus Groups: Focus groups were conducted to facilitate group discussions and explore shared experiences and perceptions among family members within the business. These sessions provided additional depth and richness to the qualitative data collected through individual interviews.
3. Case Studies: In-depth case studies of select family-owned enterprises were conducted to provide detailed insights into specific strategies and practices employed to harmonize family dynamics and business governance. Case studies involved document analysis, interviews with key stakeholders, and observation of organizational processes.

**C. Sampling Strategy (Purposeful Sampling of Family Business Owners/Managers)** The sampling strategy involved purposeful sampling of family business owners and managers who had firsthand experience with harmonizing family dynamics and business governance. Participants were selected based on their expertise, involvement in family business management, and diversity in terms of industry, size, and generational stage of the business.

The goal was to capture a wide range of perspectives and experiences that represented the diversity within the population of family-owned enterprises.

Table 1: Characteristics of Sampled Participants

## D. Data Analysis Techniques (Thematic Analysis, Content Analysis)

Thematic analysis was employed to identify patterns, themes, and categories within the qualitative data collected from interviews, focus groups, and case studies (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The data analysis process involved transcription of interview recordings, systematic coding of data, development of themes, and interpretation of findings in relation to research questions and existing literature. Content analysis complemented thematic analysis by examining the content of documents to provide additional context and corroborate findings.

## E. Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations guided all stages of the research process to ensure the protection of participants' rights, confidentiality, and informed consent. The study adhered to ethical guidelines outlined by relevant professional associations and institutional review boards. Participants were provided with clear information about the purpose of the study, their voluntary participation, and the handling of data. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to data collection, and measures were taken to maintain the confidentiality and anonymity of participants' identities and responses. Any potential conflicts of interest or ethical dilemmas were addressed transparently and ethically throughout the research process.

## Findings A. Overview of Participants

The participants in this study consisted of family business owners, managers, and key stakeholders from diverse industries and generational stages. Table 1 provides an overview of the demographic characteristics of the participants, including their roles within the family business, industry sectors, and generational stages.

Table 1: Overview of Participant Characteristics

| Participants Number | Role in Family Business | Industry | Generational Stage |
|---|---|---|---|
| 60 | Owner/CEO | Manufacturing | 2nd Generation |
| 54 | Family Council Member | Retail | 3rd Generation |
| 66 | CFO | Hospitality | 1st Generation |
| 50 | Successor | Agriculture | 4th Generation |
| 60 | Board Member | Services | 2nd Generation |

## B. Themes Emerging from the Data Analysis

1. Challenges in Harmonizing Family Dynamics and Business Governance

The analysis revealed several challenges faced by family businesses in harmonizing family dynamics and business governance. These challenges included:

- Conflicting priorities between family members regarding business decisions and succession planning.
- Difficulty in separating family issues from business decisions, leading to emotional tensions and conflicts.
- Resistance to change and reluctance to adopt formal governance structures among family members.
- Lack of communication and transparency, particularly between generations, resulting in misunderstandings and mistrust.

Table 2: Challenges in Harmonizing Family Dynamics and Business Governance

| Challenges | Description |
|---|---|
| Conflicting priorities | Differences in priorities among family members regarding business decisions and succession planning. |
| Difficulty in separating family and business issues | Challenges in distinguishing between family matters and business decisions, leading to emotional tensions and conflicts. |

| | |
|---|---|
| Resistance to change | Reluctance to adopt formal governance structures and implement changes within the family business. |
| Lack of communication | Insufficient communication and transparency, particularly between generations, resulting in misunderstandings and mistrust. |

2. Strategies Adopted by Successful Family Businesses

Successful family businesses employed various strategies to overcome challenges and achieve harmonization:

- Implementing clear governance structures, such as family councils and boards of directors, to formalize decision-making processes and clarify roles and responsibilities.
- Establishing regular family meetings and communication channels to facilitate open dialogue and transparency.
- Investing in family and managerial education to enhance leadership capabilities and foster a shared understanding of business goals.
- Embracing professionalization by hiring external advisors and implementing best practices in corporate governance and succession planning.

3. Factors Contributing to Successful Harmonization

Several factors were identified as contributing to successful harmonization in family businesses:

- Strong family values and a shared sense of purpose that guided decision-making and business strategies.
- Effective leadership characterized by a balance of familial authority and professional management expertise.
- A culture of trust, mutual respect, and collaboration among family members and stakeholders.
- Flexibility and adaptability to navigate changing market dynamics and family dynamics over time.

Table 3: Strategies Adopted by Successful Family Businesses

| **Strategies** | **Description** |
|---|---|
| Clear governance structures | Implementation of family councils and boards of directors to formalize decision-making processes and clarify roles and responsibilities. |
| Regular family meetings | Establishment of routine family meetings and communication channels to facilitate open dialogue and transparency. |
| Investment in education | Allocation of resources for family and managerial education to enhance leadership capabilities and foster a shared understanding of business goals. |
| Embracing professionalization | Hiring external advisors and implementing best practices in corporate governance and succession planning to enhance professionalism within the family business. |

4. Role of Family Dynamics in Business Decision-Making

Family dynamics played a significant role in shaping business decision-making processes and outcomes:

- Personal relationships and emotional attachments influenced strategic decisions, succession plans, and conflict resolution strategies.
- Power dynamics and hierarchies within the family often impacted the distribution of authority and decision-making responsibilities.
- Intergenerational differences in values, attitudes, and management styles sometimes led to tensions and challenges in aligning business objectives with family interests.

Table 4: Factors Contributing to Successful Harmonization

| **Factors** | **Description** |
|---|---|
| Strong family values | Presence of strong family values and a shared sense of purpose guiding decision-making and business strategies. |
| Effective leadership | Leadership characterized by a balance of familial authority and professional management expertise. |
| Culture of trust | A culture fostering trust, mutual respect, and collaboration among family members and stakeholders. |
| Flexibility and adaptability | Ability to adapt to changing market dynamics and family dynamics over time. |

## C. Illustrative Examples from Case Studies or Interview Excerpts

Case studies and interview excerpts provided concrete illustrations of the themes identified in the data analysis. These examples highlighted real-life experiences, challenges, and strategies employed by family businesses to harmonize family dynamics and business governance. For instance, one participant shared how regular family meetings and retreats helped facilitate open communication and alignment of family values with business goals, while another participant discussed the challenges of navigating succession issues amidst conflicting family expectations. These examples added depth and context to the findings, offering insights into the complexities of managing family-owned enterprises.

Table 5: Role of Family Dynamics in Business Decision-Making

| **Dynamics** | **Description** |
|---|---|
| Personal relationships | Influence of personal relationships and emotional attachments on strategic decisions, succession plans, and conflict resolution strategies. |
| Power dynamics | Impact of power dynamics and hierarchies within the family on the distribution of authority and decision-making responsibilities. |

| | |
|---|---|
| Intergenerational differences | Challenges arising from differences in values, attitudes, and management styles between generations, affecting alignment of business objectives with family interests. |

## Discussion A. Interpretation of Findings in Relation to Existing Literature

The findings of this study align with existing literature on family business management, providing insights into the challenges, strategies, and dynamics of harmonizing family dynamics and business governance. The identified challenges, such as conflicting priorities, difficulty in separating family and business issues, and resistance to change, are consistent with previous research highlighting the complexities inherent in family-owned enterprises (Chrisman et al., 2005; Aronoff & Ward, 1995). Similarly, the strategies adopted by successful family businesses, including clear governance structures, regular family meetings, and investment in education, resonate with best practices recommended in the literature (Ward, 2004; Dyer, 2006).

## B. Implications for Theory and Practice

The findings of this study have several implications for both theory and practice in the field of family business management. The identification of key challenges and strategies provides theoretical insights into the dynamics of harmonization, contributing to the refinement and development of existing theoretical frameworks, such as agency theory, stewardship theory, and the socioemotional wealth perspective. Practically, the findings offer actionable recommendations for family business owners, managers, and advisors seeking to enhance organizational performance and familial well-being. By understanding the importance of clear governance structures, open communication, and professionalization, practitioners can implement strategies to navigate the complexities of family-owned enterprises effectively.

## C. Limitations of the Study

Despite its contributions, this study has several limitations that warrant consideration. Firstly, the qualitative nature of the research limits the generalizability of the findings to other contexts and populations. Secondly, the sample size and composition may not fully capture the diversity of family businesses, industries, and generational stages, potentially influencing the breadth and depth of the insights obtained. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data and retrospective accounts may introduce bias or memory distortions, impacting the accuracy and reliability of the findings. Finally, the study's scope may have overlooked certain aspects of harmonization or neglected alternative perspectives, highlighting the need for caution in interpreting the results.

## D. Suggestions for Future Research

Building on the findings of this study, several avenues for future research can be explored to further advance our understanding of harmonizing family dynamics and business governance in family-owned enterprises. Firstly, longitudinal studies could track the evolution of family businesses over time, examining how harmonization strategies and outcomes change across different generational transitions and market conditions. Secondly, comparative studies could explore variations in harmonization practices across different cultural contexts, industries, and family structures, providing insights into the role of cultural, institutional, and contextual factors. Additionally, mixed-methods approaches integrating qualitative and quantitative techniques could offer a more comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms and outcomes of harmonization. Finally, research focusing on the impact of technological advancements, globalization, and environmental sustainability on family business governance and dynamics could provide valuable insights into emerging challenges and opportunities in the field.

While this study has shed light on the challenges, strategies, and dynamics of harmonizing family dynamics and business governance, further research is needed to deepen our understanding and inform theory, practice, and policy in the dynamic and evolving field of family business management.

## Conclusion A. Summary of Key Findings

This study explored the strategies for harmonizing family dynamics and business governance in family-owned enterprises through qualitative inquiry. The key findings can be summarized as follows:

- Challenges in harmonizing family dynamics and business governance include conflicting priorities, difficulty in separating family and business issues, resistance to change, and lack of communication.
- Successful family businesses employ strategies such as clear governance structures, regular family meetings, investment in education, and embracing professionalization to overcome challenges and achieve harmonization.
- Factors contributing to successful harmonization include strong family values, effective leadership, a culture of trust, and flexibility/adaptability.
- Family dynamics play a significant role in shaping business decision-making processes, influencing strategic decisions, power dynamics, and intergenerational differences.

## B. Contribution to the Field

This study contributes to the field of family business management by providing empirical insights into the dynamics of harmonizing family dynamics and business governance. The identification of challenges, strategies, and factors contributing to successful harmonization adds to the existing body of literature, enhancing our understanding of the complexities inherent in family-owned enterprises. Moreover, the study extends theoretical frameworks such as agency theory, stewardship theory, and the socioemotional wealth perspective by empirically validating their applicability in the context of harmonization.

## C. Practical Implications for Family Businesses

The findings of this study have several practical implications for family businesses:

- Family business owners and managers can leverage the identified strategies, such as establishing clear governance structures and investing in education, to overcome challenges and enhance organizational performance.
- Embracing professionalization and fostering open communication can help mitigate conflicts and promote alignment between family values and business goals.
- Recognizing the role of family dynamics in decision-making processes can inform succession planning, leadership development, and conflict resolution strategies within family-owned enterprises.

In conclusion, this study underscores the importance of harmonizing family dynamics and business governance for the sustained success and longevity of family-owned enterprises. By understanding the challenges, strategies, and dynamics of harmonization, family businesses can navigate complexities effectively and achieve their goals across generations.

## References


1. Acquaah, M. (2016), “Family business theories and Sub-Saharan African family businesses”, in Acquaah, M. (Ed.), Family Businesses in Sub-saharan Africa: Behavioural and Strategic Perspectives, Palgrave Macmillan, New York.
2. Adjei, E.K., Eriksson, R.H., Lindgren, U., Holm, E. and Lindgren, U. (2019), “Familial relationships and firm performance: the impact of entrepreneurial family relationships”, Entrepreneurship and Regional Development, Vol. 31 Nos 5-6, pp. 357-377.
3. Akparep, J., Jengre, E. and Mogre, A. (2019), “The influence of leadership style on organizational performance at Tuma Kavi development association, Tamale, Northern Region of Ghana”, Open Journal of Leadership, Vol. 8, pp. 1-22.
4. Alves, C.A. and Gama, A.P.M. (2020), “Family business performance: a perspective of family influence”, Review of Business Management, Vol. 22 No. 1, pp. 163-182.

5. Aronoff, C.E. and Ward, J.L. (2011), Family Business Governance: Maximizing Family and Business Potential (A Family Business Publication), 2nd Edition. Palgrave MacMillan, New York.
6. Aronoff, C.E., Ward, J.L. and McClure, S.L. (2011), Family business ownership: how to be an effective shareholder, 2nd ed., Palgrave Macmillan, New York.
7. Astrachan, J.H. (2010), “Strategy in family business: toward a multidimensional research agenda”, Journal of Family Business Strategy, Vol. 1, pp. 6-14.
8. Audretsch, D.B., Hülsbeck, M. and Lehmann, E.E. (2013), “Families as active monitors of firm performance”, Journal of Family Business Strategy, Vol. 4, pp. 118-130.
9. Azizi, M., Bidgoli, M.S. and Taheri, A. (2021), “The effect of ownership and management structure on family businesses performance”, Cogent Business and Management, Vol. 8 No. 1, doi: 10.1080/23311975.2021.1872888.
10. Barrett, M. (2014), “Theories to define and understand family firms”, in Hasan, H. (Ed.), Being Practical with Theory: A Window into Business Research, Theori, Wollongong, Australia, pp. 168-170.
11. Basco, R. (2017), “Where do you want to take your family firm? A theoretical and empirical exploratory study of family business goals”, Cuadernos de Economía y Dirección de La Empresa, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 28-44.
12. Behn, B.K., Riley, R.A., Jr and Yang, Y. (2005), “The value of an heir apparent in succession planning”, Corporate Governance: An International Review, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp. 168-177.
13. Bjuggren, P. and Sund, L. (2001), “Strategic decision making in intergenerational successions of small- and medium-sized family-owned businesses”, Family Business Review, Vol. 14, pp. 11-24.
14. Block, J.H., Jaskiewicz, P. and Miller, D. (2011), “Ownership versus management effects on performance in family and founder companies: a Bayesian reconciliation”, Journal of Family Business Strategy, Vol. 2 No. 4, pp. 232-245.
15. Bövers, J. and Hoon, C. (2020), “Shared leadership at the top of family firms: how sibling teams engage in successful co-leadership”, in Saiz-Álvarez, J., Leitão, J. and Palma-Ruiz, J. (Eds), Entrepreneurship and Family Business Vitality. Studies on Entrepreneurship, Structural Change and Industrial Dynamics, Springer, Cham.
16. Brannon, D.L., Wiklund, J. and Haynie, J.M. (2013), “The varying effects of family relationships in entrepreneurial teams”, Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, Vol. 37 No. 1, pp. 107-132.
17. Brundin, E., McClatchey, I.S. and Melin, L. (2023), “Leaving the family business: the dynamics of psychological ownership”, Journal of Family Business Strategy, Vol. 14 No. 2, 100555, doi: 10.1016/j.jfbs.2023.100555.
18. Burns, J.M. (2003), Transforming Leadership: A New Pursuit of Happiness, Atlantic Monthly Press, New York.
19. Carney, M. (2005), “Corporate governance and competitive advantage in family–controlled firms”, Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 249-265.
20. Caspar, C., Dias, A.K. and Elstrodt, H.P. (2010), “The five attributes of enduring family businesses”, available at: http://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/organization/ourinsights/the-five-attributes-of-enduring-family-businesses (accessed 30 April 2022).
21. Cheng, M., Chen and Liu, J. (2023), “Confucianism and the altruistic behaviour in the succession of family firms: evidence from asset write-downs, China”, Journal of Accounting Studies, Vol. 11 No. 1, pp. 29-54.
22. Chrisman, J.J., Chua, J.H., Pearson, A.W. and Barnett, T. (2012), “Family involvement, family influence, and family-centered non-economic goals in small firms”, Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 267-293.
23. Chua, J., Chrisman, J., De Massis, A. and Wang, H. (2018), “Reflections on family firm goals and the assessment of performance”, Journal of Family Business Strategy, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 107-113.

24. Cirillo, A., Huybrechts, J., Mussolino, D., Sciascia, S. and Voordeckers, W. (2020), “Researching family business growth”, European Management Review, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 733746.
25. Daspit, J.J., Chrisman, J.J., Sharma, P., Pearson, A.W. and Long, R.G. (2017), “A strategic management perspective of the family firm: past trends, new insights, and future directions”, Journal of Managerial Issues, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 6-14.
26. Dhliwayo, S. (2007), “The relationship between the practice of strategic planning and levels of corporate entrepreneurship in South African public companies”, PhD Thesis, University of Pretoria, available at: https://repository.up.ac.za/handle/2263/24283 (accessed 14 April 2022).
27. Eddleston, K.A., Kellermanns, F.W., Floyd, S.W., Crittenden, V.L. and Crittenden, W.F. (2013), “Planning for growth: life stage differences in family firms”, Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, Vol. 37 No. 5, pp. 1177-1202.
28. Farrington, S. (2009), “Sibling partnerships in South African small and medium-sized family businesses”, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University, Doctoral dissertation, available at: http://hdl.handle.net/10948/952 (accessed 14 April 2022).
29. Fries, A., Kammerlander, N. and Leitterstorf, M. (2021), “Leadership styles and leadership behaviours in family firms: a systematic literature review”, Journal of Family Business Strategy, Vol. 12 No. 1, 100374.
30. Gill, S. and Kaur, P. (2015), “Family involvement in business and financial performance: a panel data analysis”, Vikalpa, Vol. 40 No. 4, pp. 395-420.
31. Gomez-Mejía, L.R., Cruz, C., Berrone, P. and De Castro, J. (2011), “The bind that ties: socioemotional wealth preservation in family firms”, Academy of Management Annals, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 653-707.
32. Gonzàlez, M., Guzḿan, A., Pombo, C. and Trujillo, M.A. (2012), “Family firms and financial performance: the cost of growing”, Emerging Market Review, Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 626-649.
33. Guedes, M.J., Patel, P.C., Kowalkowski, C. and Oghazi, P. (2022), “Family business, servitization, and performance: evidence from Portugal”, Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Vol. 185, 122053.
34. Hersey, P. and Blanchard, K.H. (1977), “Management of organizational behavior: utilizing human resources”, 3rd ed., Prentice Hall, New Jersey.